

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1-80 Advandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/845,042 04/27/2001 Filippo Belardelli B-4161 618742-8 1462

7500

09/22/2003

Richard P. Berg, Esq c/o LADAS & PARRY Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679 EXAMINER
EWOLDT, GERALD R

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		
	09/845,042	BELARDELLI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disp sition of Claims</li> </ul>		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/845,042 Art Unit 1644

3

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a process for deriving dendritic cells (DCs), classified in Class 435, subclasses 377, 385, and 386
- II. Claims 26-29 and 32-37, drawn to a DC, a pharmaceutical composition, and a vaccine, classified in Class 424, subclasses 278.1+ and 93.71 and Class 435, subclass 372.
- III. Claims 30-31, drawn to a kit, classified in Class 435, subclass 810.
- IV. Claims 38-49, drawn to a method for the treatment of a pathology comprising administering DCs, classified in Class 424, subclasses 278.1+ and 93.71.
- V. Claims 50-53, drawn to a method for the ex vivo expansion of T cells, classified in Class 35, subclasses 377 and 383.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

2. Inventions II and IV/V are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as for *in vitro* assays.

3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by other processes such as isolation from peripheral blood.

- Inventions II and III are unrelated products comprising unrelated components.
- Inventions I and III-V are different methods. Inventions comprise different reagents, different method steps and different endpoints. For example, methods of in vivo treatment differ significantly from methods of ex vivo cell expansion. Therefore the methods are patentably distinct.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and Groups I-V have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or the searches are not co-extensive, and because the Groups encompass divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600

September 17, 2003